

# Numerical studies of small scale eddies behind headlands in tidal inlets

# Outline of the story

- Whirlpools in Tidal inlets, the Moskstraumen Maelstrom
- The Backward Facing Step Problem in CFD
- Flow over sills
- Processes and their importance
- Two-dimensional versus Three-dimensional studies
- Pressure in fine scale ocean modelling
- Preliminary results
- Future plans



## Maelstroms background

- From Dutch, «malen» = crush, «stroom» = current
- Edgar Allen Poe and Jules Verne uses the term to describe violent vortices that reach down to the sea floor
- Examples of maelstroms:
  - Moskestraumen, Norway
  - Saltstraumen, Norway
  - Corryvreckan, Scotland











## Saltstraumen









## The Moskstraum eddy by Olaus Magnus -1555



Figur 12.2: Virvelen I Moskstraumen slik Olaus Magnus forestilte seg den i sin bok om historien til de nordiske folkene fra 1555.

From Gjevik 2009 - Olaus Magnus was a Swedish Bishop. Connects the Moskstraum to Odyssev



# The Moskstraum eddy by Cornelli (1650-1718)



From Gjevik 2009 - Vincenzo M. Cornelli was an Italian Map Drawer



## Flow from Lofoten to the Baltic Sea through a Tunnel



Figur 12.4: Kircher forestilte seg at det gikk en underjordisk tunnel mellom Lofoten og Østersjøen hvor sjøvannet strømmet. Ved tunnelåpningen ble det så dannet en stor malstrømsvirvel. (Tegning fre *Mundus Subterraneus* 1665).

From Gjevik 2009 - Mundus Subterraneus by A. Kircher (1602-1680) - Maelstrom at tunnel opening



## Why should we model such eddies?

- Interesting in their own right
- Important for ship routing and safety
- Dispersal of fish eggs and larvae ++
- Better understanding of ocean mixing
- Fish behaviour
- Parameterization of mixing in large scale ocean models is not well understood
- Subgrid scale parameterization techniques need validation and improvements



## Mixing at tidal inlets - Flow over sills

- Mixing due to Internal Waves
- Mixing due to Overturning Vortices
- Mixing due to Horizontal Eddies
- Knight Inlet British Columbia Canada (50%=horiz.mix?)
- Loch Etive Scotland UK
- Two-dimensional model studies ignore the role of the horizonal eddies
- We need three-dimensional (3D) model studies



# Eddies at Stuart Island

(a challenge for modellers from Farmer at the GFDL summer school)





# The backward facing step problem from CFD

Example from Gartling 1990 – a much used benchmark

BACKWARD FACING STEP - RE = 800 STREAM FUNCTION



Figure 3. Streamfunction contours. Level values are -0.030, -0.025, -0.020, -0.015, -0.010, -0.005, 0.0, 0.050, 0.100, 0.150, 0.200, 0.250, 0.300, 0.350, 0.400, 0.450, 0.490, 0.500, 0.502, 0.304



## Our model

- The Bergen Ocean Model (BOM), developed at the Department of Mathematics, University of Bergen, Uni research, Bergen, with contributions from the Institute of Marine Research (IMR) Bergen.
- Development started around 1995, initally borrowing heavily from POM
- Non hydrostatic, sigma co-ordinate, regular grid
- Timestepping similar to ROMS, predictor corrector allowing for long 3d steps.
- Fortran 95, MPI parallelization, run time vizualisation, nearly all configuration via config files.
- Used in studies from lab scale(mm) up to models of the Norwegian seas with 20km resolution



Can we model such eddies with mode split ocean models?

- Non-hydrostatic pressure effects are important
- Free surface effects are important
- Grid resolution better than 1m may be required
- Feasible for small area, one vortex street, studies
- Surface tension is neglected
- Effects of bubbles of air neglected

• 
$$P = P_{atm} + P_{\eta} + P_{int} + P_{nh}$$



## Pressure in ocean models

- From Fluid Mechanics: Dynamic Boundary Condition  $P = P_{atm}$  at both sides of the surface
- In Ocean Modelling:  $P = P_{atm}$  at the atmospheric side and  $P = P_{atm} + P_{\eta}$  at the ocean side (see e.g.7.32 Kundu&Cohen)
- Valid for small amplitude waves ( η<< L), but how close can η be to L?
- In mode split ocean models: η and P computed from the depth integrated equations
- $\mathsf{P}_{_{\text{int}}}$  computed in longer 3D steps from the density gradients
- Including the effects of  $P_{atm}$ ,  $P_{\eta}$ , and  $P_{int}$  provisional velocities  $\tilde{U}^{n+1}$  at the new time step are obtained



# Non-hydrostatic Pressure in ocean models

- The non-hydrostatic pressure  $P_{_{NH}}$  is computed from an elliptic equation forced by the divergence in  $\overline{U}^{n+1}$
- Neumann conditions at closed boundaries (no-flow)
- $P_{_{NH}} = 0$  at the free surface is suggested
  - The velocity corrections may not be divergence free
  - Adjustments of  $\eta$  required
  - Non-hydrostatic pressure effects near the surface are difficult to capture (*dP/dx* small)
- With the Neumann condition, <sup>∂P</sup>/<sub>∂n</sub>=0 the velocity corrections are divergence free
- The surface elevation is determined in the short 2D time steps, consistent with the mode splitting idea



## Flow past lateral step, DX=1.25m





x component of velocity, U, averaged over 5 minutes at z=-5m.



## Across channel velocity profile

• Lateral friction creates Poiseuille type profile before step





## Instantaneous fields, t=788s

From t=400s to t=850s,  $\rho$  is increased from 1025 to 1026. (Total time simulated is 1800s)





## Visualization of vortices

• Following Tanaka and Kida, Nagaosa and Handler, we intend to look at methods using low pressure criterias such as

 $\nabla^2 P = Q_s$ 

 $Q_{s}^{+} = Q_{s} v / u_{\tau}^{2}$ 

 $\overline{Q}_{s} = (Q_{s}^{+} - \langle Q_{s}^{+} \rangle)/Q_{s}^{rms} = 5/4$ , a snapshot of  $\nabla^{2}P$  alone indicates structures:





# Discussion & future

- With grid sizes close to 1m: small scale eddies similar to those observed
- Sensitivity to the grid size
- Sensitivity to the sub-grid scale closures
- Vertical structure of the eddies
- Balance between the centrifugal force and pressure gradients
- Energy budgets
- Effects of stratification
- Combinations of lateral steps and sills

Finally a couple of animations if time allows?